

~~SECRET~~

DOC <u>35</u>	REV DATE <u>29 APR 1960</u>	BY <u>018373</u>
ORIG COMP <u>33</u>	OPI <u>56</u>	TYPE <u>02</u>
ORIG CLASS <u>S</u>	PAGES <u>6</u>	REV CLASS <u>C</u>
JUST <u>22</u>	NEXT REV <u>2010</u>	AUTH: HR 70-2

CONFIDENTIAL

TO: Inspection Branch/PD/OL

DATE: 25 May 1960CONTRACT NO.: 605, T.O. 6

FROM: Chief, Engineering Staff, OC

REPORT NO.: 6 - Final
(If final, so state)Contractor

25X1

Address Commodity/Service 30 - 1,000 mc antenna Classification Equipment-UNCLASSIFIED
Contract-SECRETNo. of Prototypes 5 Contract Completion Date 1 June 1960The Contractor is on schedule ☒ Yes ☐ NoThe Contractor will remain within his allocated funds ☒ Yes ☐ NoAn extension of time will be necessary ☐ Yes ☒ NoAll phases of the technical progress are satisfactory ☒ Yes ☐ NoThe overall performance of this contractor is : ☒ Satisfactory☐ Subject to Improvement☐ Unsatisfactory

NOTE: If unsatisfactory or subject to improvement, indicate reasons under comments.

Comments Based on Voucher No. 59364-60, all items have been received.Recommended Action Termination of Task.Date of Visit to Contractor's Plant 13 May 1960

APPROVED:

Inspected by:

25X1

Division: Chief, Engineering Staff, OCExtension: ~~SECRET~~

CONFIDENTIAL

TO: Inspection Branch/PD/OL

Date: 7 March 1960

FROM: Chief, Engineering Staff, OC

Contract No.: 605, T. O. 6Report No.: 5
(If final, so state)

Contractor _____

25X1

Addressee _____

Commodity/Service 30-1,000 mc antenna**Equipment-UNCLASSIFIED**Classification **Contract-SECRET**No. of Prototypes 5 Contract Completion Date 14 Feb. 60The Contractor is on schedule _____ Yes ☒ NoThe Contractor will remain within his allocated funds _____ Yes ☒ NoAn extension of time will be necessary ☒ Yes _____ NoAll phases of the technical progress are satisfactory ☒ Yes _____ NOThe overall performance of this contractor is: ☒ Satisfactory☐ Subject to Improvement☐ Unsatisfactory

NOTE: If unsatisfactory or subject to improvement, indicate reasons under comments.

Comments Although a 1-month time extension and a 10% overrun is anticipated, the overall progress is satisfactory. The development encompasses somewhat more than originally anticipated and will lead to a much superior product compared to that originally proposed by Collins Radio.Recommended Action Continued technical monitoringDate of Visit to Contractor's Plant 25 February 1960

APPROVED:

Inspected by: _____

25X1

Division: OC-E/R+D-EP

Extension: _____

25X1

Chief, Engineering Staff, OC**SECRET**

TO: Inspection Branch/PD/OL

DATE: 22 December 1959

FROM: Chief, Engineering Staff, OC

CONTRACT NO.: 605, T.O. 6REPORT NO.: 4
(If final, so state)

Contractor _____

25X1

Addressee _____

Commodity/Service 20-1,000 MC AntennaClassification Contract-SECRET
Equip: UNCLASSIFIEDNo. of Prototypes 5 Contract Completion Date 14 Feb. 1960The Contractor is on schedule ☒ Yes NoThe Contractor will remain within his allocated funds ☒ Yes NoAn extension of time will be necessary Yes ☒ NoAll phases of the technical progress are satisfactory ☒ Yes NoThe overall performance of this Contractor is : ☒ Satisfactory☐ Subject to Improvement☐ Unsatisfactory

NOTE: If unsatisfactory or subject to improvement, indicate reasons under comments.

Comments _____

Recommended Action Continued monitoring.Date of Visit to Contractor's Plant 17 December 1959

APPROVED:

Inspected by: _____

25X1

Division: OC-E/R+D-EP

Extension: _____

25X1

Chief, Engineering Staff, OC~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

TO: Inspection Branch/PD/OL

DATE: 26 October 1959CONTRACT NO: 605, T.O. 6

FROM: Chief, Engineering Staff, OC

REPORT NO: 3
(If final, so state)Contractor 25X1Addressee Commodity/Service 30-1,000 MC AntennaContract-~~SECRET~~
Equipment-~~UNCLASSIFIED~~No. of Prototypes 5 Contract Completion Date 14 February 1960The Contractor is on schedule ☒ Yes ☐ NoThe Contractor will remain within his allocated funds ☒ Yes ☐ NoAn extension of time will be necessary ☐ Yes ☒ NoAll phases of the technical progress are satisfactory ☒ Yes ☐ NoThe overall performance of this contractor is: ☒ Satisfactory☐ Subject to Improvement☐ Unsatisfactory

NOTE: If unsatisfactory or subject to improvement, indicate reasons under comments.

Comments _____

Recommended Action Continued monitoring.**phone call**Date of ~~visit~~ to Contractor's Plant 26 October 1959

APPROVED:

Inspected by: 25X1Division: OC-E/R&D-EPExtension: 25X1Chief, Engineering Staff, OC~~SECRET~~

CONFIDENTIAL

~~SECRET~~

TO: Inspection Branch/PD/OL

DATE: 24 August 1959

FROM: Chief, Engineering Staff, OC

CONTRACT NO.: 605, T.O. 6REPORT NO.: 2
(If final, so state)

Contractor _____

25X1

Address _____

Commodity/Service 30-1,000 mcs AntennaClassification Contract-SECRET
Equipment-UNCLASSIFIEDNo. of Prototypes 5 Contract Completion Date 14 February 1960The Contractor is on schedule x Yes _____ NoThe Contractor will remain within his allocated funds x Yes _____ NoAn extension of time will be necessary _____ Yes x NoAll phases of the technical progress are satisfactory x Yes _____ NoThe overall performance of this contractor is: ☒ Satisfactory☐ Subject to Improvement☐ Unsatisfactory

NOTE: If unsatisfactory or subject to improvement, indicate reasons under comments.

Comments _____

Recommended Action Continued technical monitoringDate of Visit to Contractor's Plant 17 August 1959

APPROVED:

Inspected by: _____

25X1

Division: _____

Extension: _____

Chief, Engineering Staff, OC~~SECRET~~

CONFIDENTIAL

~~SECRET~~

TO: Inspection Branch/PD/OL

DATE: 25 June 1959

FROM: Chief, Engineering Staff, OC

CONTRACT NO.: 605, T.O. 6REPORT NO.: 1
(If final, so state)Contractor [REDACTED] 25X1Address [REDACTED]Commodity/Service 30-1000 mc Antenna Classification Contract-SECRET
Equipment-UNCLASSIFIEDNo. of Prototypes 5 Contract Completion Date 14 Feb. 1960The Contractor is on schedule. ☒ Yes ☐ NoThe Contractor will remain within his allocated funds. ☒ Yes ☐ NoAn extension of time will be necessary. ☐ Yes ☒ NoAll phases of the technical progress are satisfactory. ☒ Yes ☐ NoThe overall performance of this contractor is: ☒ Satisfactory☐ Subject to Improvement☐ Unsatisfactory

NOTE: If unsatisfactory or subject to improvement, indicate reasons under comments.

Comments [REDACTED]Recommended Action Continued technical monitoringDate of Visit to Contractor's Plant 23 June 1959

APPROVED:

Inspected by: [REDACTED]Division: [REDACTED]Extension: [REDACTED]Chief, Engineering Staff, OC

CONFIDENTIAL 25X1

~~SECRET~~